CABINET MEMBERS DELEGATED DECISION

| Open | | Would any decisions proposed : | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Any especially affected | Mandatory/ | Be entirely within Cabinet's powers to decide Need to be recommendations to Council Is it a Key Decision | | | | YES/ NO YES /NO | | |
| Wards | Discretionary / Operational | | | | | YES /NO | | |
| | Lead Member: Cllr Elizabeth Nockolds | | | Other Cabinet Members consulted: None | | | | |
| E-mail: <u>cllr.elizabeth.nockolds@west-</u> norfolk.gov.uk | | | Other Members consulted: None | | | | | |
| Lead Officer: Lorraine Gore E-mail: Lorraine.gore@west-norfolk.gov.uk Direct Dial (01553 616432) | | Other Officers consulted: Sarah Dennis | | | | | | |
| Financial Implications YES/ NO | Policy/Personr Implications YES /NO | licy/Personnel Sta plications Im | | | Equal Impact Assessment YES/ NO If YES: Pre- screening/ Full Assessment | Risk Management Implications YES /NO | | |
| If not for publication, the paragraph(s) of Schedule 12A of the 1972 Local Government Act considered to justify that is (are) paragraph(s) | | | | | | ent Act considered | | |
| Date meeting advertised: 26 th November 2019 | | | 9 | Date of meeting decision to be taken: 3 rd December 2019 | | | | |
| Deadline for Call-In: 10 th December 2019 | | | | | | | | |

Financial Assistance Small Grants Scheme

Summary

This report details the decisions made in relation to the second round of grants awarded through the Open Spaces Fund.

Recommendation

To approve the decisions set out below.

Reason for Decision

Determination of applications under the Open Spaces Fund.

In March 2019 the Borough Council received funds from the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government to enhance the green space available to their communities.

Part of this fund was used to open a small grants scheme, to be used to transform outdoor community spaces in the borough, and encourage greater use by local people.

Norfolk Community Foundation administer the scheme, as they do for the Council's Financial Assistance Scheme. Grants of up to £750 have been made available to support community projects that meet the following outcomes:

- Increased involvement from people who face barriers to participation in community life
- Healthier and safer lifestyles
- Greater use of community outdoor spaces

Applications are welcome from charities, church groups, parish or town councils, social enterprises or community interest companies and voluntary and community groups.

A grants panel meeting was held on 18 November to assess applications for the Open Spaces Fund, which falls within the Culture, Heritage and Health Portfolio. This report details the recommendations made at this meeting by the Portfolio Holder.

The recommendations featured in this report are subject to the 'call in' procedure. When the grant decision becomes official the applicants will be notified in writing by the Norfolk Community Foundation on behalf of the Borough Council. All terms and conditions of the grants will be stated in the official offer letter.

Open Spaces Fund

| Applicant | Summary of request | Decision | | |
|--------------------------|---|----------|--|--|
| North End Trust | To create a memorial sensory garden, to be maintained by local volunteers. | £683 | | |
| Wootton Park Association | To create a bug hotel and educational insect area for children and parents in Wootton Park. | £500 | | |
| TOTAL AWARDED | | £1,183 | | |

Open Spaces Fund applications:

Awards over £5,000: None

Policy Implications

None.

Financial Implications

The total Open Spaces Fund budget is as follows:

| 2019/20 – Open Spaces Fund | £ |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Budget 2019/20 – Funds Available | 9,000 |
| Report dated October 2019 | 3,000 |
| This report | 1,183 |
| Balance of Funds Available 2019/20 | 4,817 |

There is sufficient budget provision to cover recommendations in this report.

Personnel Implications

None.

Statutory Considerations

None.

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

None.

Risk Management Implications

None.

Declarations of Interest / Dispensations Granted

None.

Background Papers

Original application forms.

Signed:....

| Cabinet Member for: | Date: |
|---------------------|-------|
|---------------------|-------|

Pre-Screening Equality Impact Assessment





| Assessment | VVC3 | | 0 🗸 | 10 | | |
|---|--|---|--|----------|----------------|--------|
| Name of policy/service/function | Open Spaces Fund – Small Grants | | | | | |
| Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function? | New / Existing (delete as appropriate the second se | | iate) | | | |
| Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened. Please state if this policy/service rigidly constrained by statutory obligations | A small budget is available for lo voluntary groups, schools and c apply for funding, under the gui to improve community open spa administered by Norfolk Commu grant award decisions made by Officers and Members. It is not a | | church groups to dance of set criteria, aces. The Scheme is unity Foundation, with Borough Council | | | |
| Question | Answer | | | | | |
| 1 . Is there any reason to believe that the policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups according to their different | | | Positive | Negative | Neutral | Unsure |
| protected characteristic , for example, because they have particular needs, experiences, issues or | Age | | | | X | |
| priorities or in terms of ability to access the | Disability | | | | X | |
| service? | Gender | | | | X | |
| | Gender Re-assignment | | | | X | |
| Please tick the relevant box for each group. | Marriage/civil partnership | | | | X | |
| | Pregnancy & maternity | | | | x | |
| NB. Equality neutral means no negative impact on | Race | | | | X | |
| any group. | Religion or belief | | | X | | |
| | Sexual orienta | ation X | | | | |
| | Other (eg low | rincome) X | | | | |
| Question | Answer | iswer Comments | | | | 1 |
| 2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another? | Yes / No | No- any constituted group, school or church group can apply for funding. Providing the function for which they ar applying for benefits the community, an meets the Scheme criteria, then any group can apply. | | | y are , and | |
| 3. Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently? | Yes / No | Every application has to demonstrate a clear benefit to the community so gran will only ever improve a community an its facilities. | | | rants | |
| 4. Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination? | Yes / No | Some projects will do this through their activities, but this is not a specific requirement of the Scheme. | | | heir | |
| 5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list | Yes / No | Actions: Actions agreed by EWG member: | | | | |
| Assessment completed by: Name SARAH DENNIS | | | | | | |
| Job title: PARTNERSHIPS & FUNDING OFFICER | Date: 20 th N | November 2019 | | | | |

Please Note: If there are any positive or negative impacts identified in question 1, or there any 'yes' responses to questions 2 - 4 a full impact assessment will be required.